

COMPUTABLE NUMERICAL BOUNDS FOR LAGRANGE  
MULTIPLIERS OF STATIONARY POINTS OF  
NONCONVEX DIFFERENTIABLE NONLINEAR PROGRAMS

by

O. L. Mangasarian

Computer Sciences Technical Report #569

December 1984



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN - MADISON  
COMPUTER SCIENCES DEPARTMENT

COMPUTABLE NUMERICAL BOUNDS FOR LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS  
OF STATIONARY POINTS OF NONCONVEX  
DIFFERENTIABLE NONLINEAR PROGRAMS

O. L. Mangasarian

Technical Report #569

December 1984

ABSTRACT

It is shown that the satisfaction of a standard constraint qualification of mathematical programming [5] at a stationary point of a nonconvex differentiable nonlinear program provides explicit numerical bounds for the set of all Lagrange multipliers associated with the stationary point. Solution of a single linear program gives a sharper bound together with an achievable bound on the 1-norm of the multipliers associated with the inequality constraints. The simplicity of obtaining these bounds contrasts sharply with the intractable NP-complete problem of computing an achievable upper bound on the p-norm of the multipliers associated with the equality constraints for integer  $p \geq 1$ .

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification: 90C30

Key Words: Nonlinear programming, Lagrange multipliers

---

Sponsored by the United States Army under Contract No. DAAG29-80-C-0041. This material is based upon work sponsored by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. MCS-8200632.



COMPUTABLE NUMERICAL BOUNDS FOR LAGRANGE MULTIPLIERS OF  
STATIONARY POINTS OF NONCONVEX DIFFERENTIABLE  
NONLINEAR PROGRAMS

O. L. Mangasarian

Consider the constrained optimization problem

$$(1) \quad \text{minimize } f(x) \quad \text{subject to } g(x) \leq 0, h(x) = 0$$

where  $f : R^n \rightarrow R$ ,  $g : R^n \rightarrow R^m$  and  $h : R^n \rightarrow R^k$ . It is well known that if a standard constraint qualification [2, 5]

$$(2) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nabla g_I(\bar{x})z \leq -e, \nabla h(\bar{x})z = 0 \quad \text{for some } z \in R^n, \text{ and} \\ \text{rows of } \nabla h(\bar{x}) \text{ are linearly independent} \end{array} \right.$$

holds at a local solution  $\bar{x}$  of (1) at which  $f$ ,  $g$  and  $h$  are continuously differentiable,  $I = \{i \mid g_i(\bar{x}) = 0\}$ ,  $\nabla g(\bar{x})$ ,  $\nabla g_I(\bar{x})$  and  $\nabla h(\bar{x})$  are  $m \times n$ ,  $\bar{m} \times n$  and  $k \times n$  Jacobian matrices respectively,  $e$  is a vector of ones and  $\bar{m}$  is the number of elements in  $I$ , then  $\bar{x}$  is a stationary point of (1), that is it satisfies the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions [2]

$$(3) \quad \nabla f(\bar{x}) + \bar{u}\nabla g(\bar{x}) + \bar{v}\nabla h(\bar{x}) = 0, \bar{u}g(\bar{x}) = 0, g(\bar{x}) \leq 0, \bar{u} \geq 0, h(\bar{x}) = 0$$

for some Lagrange multipliers  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in R^{m+k}$ . Let  $\bar{W}$  denote the set of all Lagrange multipliers which satisfy (3) for a fixed  $\bar{x}$ . It follows from Gauvin's theorem [1] that if  $\bar{x}$  is a local solution of (1), then  $\bar{W}$  is nonempty and bounded if and only if the constraint qualification (2) holds. What we would like to point out in this note is that any  $z$  in the set  $Z$  of points satisfying the constraint qualification (2) for a fixed  $\bar{x}$  provides an explicit numerical bound for all  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  in  $\bar{W}$  as follows:

$$(4) \quad \|\bar{u}\|_p \leq \nabla f(\bar{x})z$$

$$(5) \quad \|\bar{v}\|_p \leq \max_{j \in I} \{ \|\nabla f(\bar{x})\|_p, \|(\nabla f(\bar{x}) + (\nabla f(\bar{x})z) \nabla g_j(\bar{x}))\|_p \}$$

where  $B$  is the  $n \times k$  matrix defined by

$$(6) \quad B := \nabla h(\bar{x})^T (\nabla h(\bar{x}) \nabla h(\bar{x})^T)^{-1}$$

and  $\|\bar{u}\|_p$  denotes the  $p$ -norm  $(\sum_{j=1}^m |\bar{u}_j|^p)^{1/p}$  for  $p \in [1, \infty)$  and  $\|\bar{u}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |\bar{u}_j|$ . In particular we have the following.

1. Theorem. Let  $\bar{x}$  be a stationary point of (1). The corresponding non-empty set of all Lagrange multipliers  $\bar{W}$  satisfying the Karush-Kuhn-Tucker conditions (3) is bounded if and only if the constraint qualification (2) holds, in which case each  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v})$  in  $\bar{W}$  is bounded by (4) - (5) for  $p \in [1, \infty]$ .

Proof. The nonempty set  $\bar{W}$  is bounded if and only if there exists no  $(u_I, v)$  satisfying

$$(7) \quad u_I \nabla g_I(\bar{x}) + v \nabla h(\bar{x}) = 0, \quad u_I \geq 0, \quad (u_I, v) \neq 0$$

which by a theorem of the alternative [3, Theorem 1(i') & (iii)], is

equivalent to the constraint qualification (2). Hence for such a case we have for  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \bar{W}$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$  that

$$(8) \quad \|\bar{u}\|_p \leq \|\bar{u}\|_1 \leq \max_{(u_I, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+k}} \{ e u_I \mid u_I \nabla g_I(\bar{x}) + v \nabla h(\bar{x}) + \nabla f(\bar{x}) = 0, u_I \geq 0 \}$$

$$(8a) \quad = \min_{z \in \mathbb{R}^n} \{ \nabla f(\bar{x})z \mid \nabla g_I(\bar{x})z \leq -e, \nabla h(\bar{x})z = 0 \} \\ \leq \nabla f(\bar{x})z \quad \text{for } z \in Z \quad \text{(By linear programming duality)}$$

which establishes (4).

Now, for any  $(\bar{u}, \bar{v}) \in \bar{W}$ ,  $z \in Z$  and  $p \in [1, \infty]$  we have that

$$(9) \quad \|\bar{v}\|_p \leq \max_{v, u_I} \{ \|v\|_p \mid -v \nabla h(\bar{x}) = \nabla f(\bar{x}) + u_I \nabla g_I(\bar{x}), u_I \geq 0 \} \\ \leq \max_{v, u_I} \{ \|v\|_p \mid v = -(\nabla f(\bar{x}) + u_I \nabla g_I(\bar{x}))B, u_I \geq 0, e u_I \leq \nabla f(\bar{x})z \}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \max_{u_I} \{ \|(\nabla f(\bar{x}) + u_I \nabla g_I(\bar{x}))\|_p \mid u_I \geq 0, e u_I \leq \nabla f(\bar{x})z \} \\
&= \max_{j \in I} \{ \|\nabla f(\bar{x})\|_p, \|(\nabla f(\bar{x}) + (\nabla f(\bar{x})z) \nabla g_j(\bar{x}))\|_p \}
\end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that the maximum of a continuous convex function on a bounded polyhedral set is attained at a vertex [7, Corollary 32.3.4]. This establishes the bound (5). □

2. Corollary. The bounds (4) - (5) of Theorem 1 can be sharpened by replacing  $z$  by  $\bar{z}$  where  $\bar{z}$  is a solution of the solvable linear program (8a).

We note that the bound (4) with  $p = 1$  and  $z = \bar{z}$ , where  $\bar{z}$  is a solution of (8a) is implicitly given in the elegant proof of Gauvin [1] which characterizes the nonemptiness and boundedness of  $\bar{W}$  for a local solution  $\bar{x}$  of (1) by the satisfaction of the constraint qualification (2).

It is interesting to note that the first part of the constraint qualification (2) (existence of  $z$ ) gives an achievable bound on  $\|\bar{u}\|_1$ , whereas the second part of (2) (linear independence of the rows of  $\nabla h(\bar{x})$ ) gives a bound on  $\|\bar{v}\|_p$ , which is not necessarily achievable. It is however possible (but impractical for large  $k$ ) to compute  $\max_{(u,v) \in \bar{W}} \|\bar{v}\|_\infty$  by solving  $2k$  linear programs:  $\max_{1 \leq i \leq k} \max_{(u,v) \in \bar{W}} \pm v_i$ . However to obtain  $\max_{(u,v) \in \bar{W}} \|\bar{v}\|_1$  one is faced with the essentially impossible task (even for a moderate-sized  $k \geq 15$ ) of solving  $2^k$  linear programs:  $\max_{c \in C} \max_{(u,v) \in \bar{W}} cv$ , where  $C$  is the set of  $2^k$  vertices of the cube  $\{y \mid y \in \mathbb{R}^k, -e \leq y \leq e\}$ . In fact for integer  $p \geq 1$  the problem  $\max_{(u,v) \in \bar{W}} \|\bar{v}\|_p$  has been shown to be an intractable NP-complete problem [6]. We finally note that the methods of [4] could also be used to obtain the bounds of this work.

## REFERENCES

1. J. Gauvin: "A necessary and sufficient regularity condition to have bounded multipliers in nonconvex programming", *Mathematical Programming* 12, 1977, 136-138.
2. O. L. Mangasarian: "Nonlinear programming", McGraw-Hill, New York, New York 1969.
3. O. L. Mangasarian: "A stable theorem of the alternative: an extension of the Gordan theorem", *Linear Algebra and Its Applications* 41, 1981, 209-223.
4. O. L. Mangasarian: "Simple computable bounds for solutions of linear complementarity problems and linear programs", University of Wisconsin Computer Sciences Technical Report #519, October 1983, to appear in *Mathematical Programming Study*.
5. O. L. Managasarian and S. Fromovitz: "The Fritz John necessary optimality conditions in the presence of equality and inequality constraints", *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 17, 1967, 37-47.
6. O. L. Mangasarian and T.-H. Shiau: "A variable-complexity norm maximization problem", University of Wisconsin Computer Sciences Technical Report #568, December 1984.
7. R. T. Rockafellar: "Convex analysis", Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey 1970.