Graphics Hardware

Why?
- Need lots of computation to do graphics
- Lots of pixels, lots of polygons, lots of texels, …
- A few standard things done very often
  - Pipeline provides a standard set of abstractions
  - Break everything into triangles
- Regular computations + pipelineable
- Moving target – changing faster than processors!

History
- 1980s – first workstation 3D hardware (SGI)
- 1990s – extension of abstraction set
  - Texture mapping, compositing, multi-buffering
- 1990s – first PC graphics hardware
  - Low end (Apple’s white magic project)
  - High end (3D solutions – expensive)
- 2000s – consumer graphics hardware
  - Driven by gaming market
  - Extensive use of the abstractions
- 2002++ - programmable graphics hardware
  - Better abstractions, generality, use as GP processor

Graphics Pipeline
- Fixed set of abstractions
  - Doesn’t really change
  - Can optimize
  - Fits a programming model
- Early Graphics Hardware
  - 4x4 transform engines
  - Fill Engines
  - Scanline hardware (Apple)

Working with the Pipeline
- Where is your bottleneck?
- Get your triangles fast
  - Vertex sharing schemes
  - Display lists / v-buffers
- Filling pixels
  - Lots of z tests (read/write)
  - Texture accesses per pixel
- Limitations
  - Set operations for each phase

Early Extensions to Pipeline
- Texture Mapping
- Accumulation Buffer
  - More light sources
  - Compositing
  - Anti-Aliasing / Motion Blur
- Stencil Buffer
Pipelining in conventional processors

- Start step 2 before step 1 completes
- Unless step 2 depends on step 1

\[
\begin{align*}
C &= A \times B \\
F &= D \times E \\
J &= G \times H
\end{align*}
\]

Pipelines in graphics processors

- Conventional processors – stalls are bad
  - Need shorter pipelines
- Pixels and vertices are independent
- Pipes can be long
- Parallelism is easy
  - Start as many at a time as you want

Programming the Pipeline

- Vertex programs
  - Given the info about a vertex
    - Local coords, transform matrices, colors
    - Lights
  - Figure out the color and position
    - Typical: standard lighting model
    - Give a little program
- Parallel – all vertices happen at once
- Deeply pipelined (not intervention till end)

Fragment Shaders

- Fragment = Pixel (?)
  - Multiple fragments = 1 pixel if anti-aliasing
- Given “context” figure out color to write
  - Pixel (fragment) position already known
  - Gets control over z-test, …
- Highly parallel
- All pixels run the same program
  - SIMD – single instruction multiple data

Why is graphics hardware fast?

- Highly parallel
  - Simple parallel model
  - Lots of little processors
- Deeply pipelined
  - Results are independent
- Multiple processors on a chip is way of future
  - Speeds can’t get faster
  - Chips can’t get bigger (cross chip latencies)